BHARATIYA SAKSHYA ADHINIYAM

BA.LLB./BBA. LLB/BCom.LLB (Honours/Non Honours), Course Code: 8.2

LLB (Honours/Non Honous), Course Code 4.1

COURSE OBJECTIVE:

- 1. To develop understanding of fundamental concept of Evidence and substantive and procedural provisions of Law of Evidence (Bharat Sakshya Adhiniyam).
- 2. To define and clarify the role of Law of Evidence (Bharat Sakshya Adhiniyam) in civil and criminal proceedings.
- 3. To state the relevancy and admissibility of facts.
- 4. To explain the concept of proof and burden of proof.
- 5. To describe the order of examinations, privileged communication and witnesses.

COURSE OUTCOME:

After the completion of the course curriculum, the students will be able to:

CO1: Analyse the concept and nature of different types of Evidence.

CO2: To evaluate the reliability of relevance of Evidence.

CO3: Analyse the provisions relating to relevance of Evidence and admissibility of facts.

CO4: Understand the burden of proof followed in civil and criminal cases.

CO5: Analyse the rules of order of examinations and competency of witness, different kinds of witness involved in proceedings.

End Semester – 80

Internal Assessment – 20

Total Marks – 100

UNIT I: INTRODUCTION Marks 16

1.1 : Concept of Evidence

1.2 : Historical background, reason & Dective of law of evidence.

- 1.3 : The fundamental principles of law of evidence.
- 1.4 : Nature and purpose of law of evidence in civil and criminal cases.
- 1.5: Definitions
- 1.5.1 Distinction between Proved, Disproved & Disproved.
- 1.5.2 Distinction between May Presume, Shall Presume & Distinction Break Presume, Shall Presume & Distinction Break Presume & Distincti

Conclusive Proof.

1.6 : Division of evidence – Direct, Indirect, Real, Personal, Original, Hearsay, Primary, Secondary, Oral, Documentary, Judicial & Extrajudicial.

UNIT II: RELEVANCY & ADMISSIBILITY OF FACTS – I Marks 16

- 2.1 : Facts, Distinction between relevant facts and facts in issue, (See 3)
- 2.2 : Doctrine of Res Gestae (Sec 4) (Ss 5-7)
- 2.3 : Evidence of Common Intention relating to conspiracy (Sec 8)
- 2.4 : Facts otherwise irrelevant when relevant. (Sec 9)
- 2.5 : Relevant facts for proof of custom. (Sec 11)
- 2.6: Facts concerning mental state or body (Sec 12)
- 2.7 : Admission (Ss 15-21, 25)
- 2.8 : Confession (Ss 22-24)

UNIT III: RELEVANCY & DMISSIBILITY OF FACTS – II Marks 16

- 3.1 : Statements by persons who cannot be called as witnesses (s 26-27)
- 3.2 : Statements made under special circumstances (s-28-32)
- 3.3 : How much of a statement is to be proved (s.33)
- 3.4 : Relevence of judgement(s.34-3)
- 3.5 : opinion of third person when relevnt(s.39)
- 3.6 : Character when relevant (Ss 46-50)

UNIT IV: ON PROOF & Samp; BURDEN OF PROOF Marks 16

- 4.1 : Facts which need not be proved (Ss 51-53)
- 4.2 : Oral Evidence (Ss 54-55)
- 4.3 : Documentary Evidence (Ss 56-73)
- 4.4 : Presumptions as to documents (Ss 78-93)
- 4.5: Exclusion of oral by documentary evidence (Ss 94 103)
- 4.6: Burden of Proof (Ss 104 120)

UNIT V: ESTOPPEL & Samp; WITNESSES Marks 16

- 5.1 : Estoppel (Ss 121 -123)
- 5.2 : Who may testify (Ss 124-126)
- 5.3 : Judicial privileges (Sec 127)
- 5.4 : Privileged Communication (Ss 128-134)
- 5.5 : Rules relating to production of title deeds & amp; documents or electronic records (Ss 135 -136)
- 5.6 : Accomplice and rules regarding evidence of an accomplice (Sec 138)
- 5.7 : Examination of witnesses (Ss 140 -168)
- 5.8 : Appreciation of evidence
- 5.9: Improper admission & Earn; rejection of evidence (Sec 169)

Internal Assessment Marks 20

Referred cases:

1. State of Maharashtra V. Praful B. Desai (Evidence by means of electronic records)

- 2. Iqbal Singh Marwah V. Meenakshi Marwah (Degree of standard of Proof)
- 3. State of U.P. v. Deoman Upadhyaya (Presumption of Innocence)
- 4. State of Assam V. Mahim Barkakati (Testimony of Police officer)
- 5. State of Karnataka V. Papanaika (Post-mortem Report)
- 6. State of Maharashtra V. Vasudeo Ramchandra Kaidalwar (Burden of Proof)
- 7. Rita Pandit V. AtulPandi (Examination in chief)
- 8. Pakala Narain Swami v. Emperor (Dying Declaration)
- 9. Arjun Panditrao Khotkar v. Kailash K Gorantyal & Drs (admissibility of e-evidence)
- 10. Bohda & Dohda & Circumstantial Evidence)
- 11. Kalyan Kumar Gogoi v. Ashtosh Agnihotri (Hearsay Evidence)

Recommended Books:

- 1. Ratanlal and Dhirajlal"s The Law of Evidence, Lexis Nexis.
- 2. Dr. Avtar Singh Indian Evidence Act, Central Law Publications.
- 3. BatukLal, Law of Evidence, Central Law Agency.
- 4. Rameshwar Dayal: Commentaries on Indian Evidence Act, Allahabad Law Agency.
- 5. V. P. Sarathi's Law of Evidence, Eastern Book Company.